

# Hearing Decision



## 2023 ILCA Senior European Championships & Open European Trophy

Case Number	With Case	Race Number	Hearing Status
31	32, 33, 34, 37	1	Closed

### Type of Hearing

Request for redress

**Valid**

yes

**Date of Race**

14/03

**Received Time**

07:05:05

### PARTIES

Class/Fleet	Fleet	Boat or Committee or Person	Represented By/Not Present
ILCA 6 WOMEN	Red	GER 211211	Pia KUHLMANN
International Jury	Red	International Jury	IJ

### Witnesses

Name	Boat - Committee - Role
------	-------------------------

### Case Summary:

### Procedural Matters:

1. The original decision of case 4 was given on 13th March at 18:10.
2. The international jury reopened the hearing of case 4 and changed the decision to mitigate the effect of the decision on the boats in the Yellow fleet. Decision was posted at 23:08 on 13th March.
3. The requests refer to the reopened decision and were delivered as soon as reasonably possible after the decision was given.
4. Requests are valid.

### Facts Found:

1. The international jury decision after reopening hearing number 4 was: Redress given. Race 1 of the ILCA 6 red fleet is abandoned. However, the score for boats that finished in the first 12 positions in the abandoned race 1 shall be the better score between the initial abandoned race and the re-sailed race.
2. The jury is satisfied that the score of multiple boats in race 1 was made significantly worse through no fault of their own by an improper omission of the race committee.
3. The jury considered that its decision may affect the series score of other boats.
4. The jury also considered the 12 boats that sailed the course without being affected by the moving mark.

### Conclusion & Rules:

1. When making its decision in case 4, the international jury made as fair an arrangement as possible for all boats affected, including the 12 boats that finished the race without the interference of the moving mark and the boats in the Yellow fleet.

2. There was neither an improper action nor omission of the international jury. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 62.1(a) are not met.

**Decision:**

Redress is not given.

**Short Decision:**  
Redress is not given.

**Decision Date**  
14/03

**Decision Time**  
10:31:31

**Panel Chair**  
Michal Jodlowski

**Panel Members**  
Bence Borocz, Stavros Kouris, Claudio Uras, Baptiste Verniest